A Primer on IHSS Fraud – and Suggestions for Curbing It

Prepared by the Senate Office of Oversight and Outcomes

June 26, 2009

Background

On March 24, the Senate Human Services Committee held a hearing on California's In-Home Supportive Services program, a \$5.4 billion program that seeks to keep people out of nursing homes by paying for in-home attendants. As background for the hearing, the Senate Office of Oversight and Outcomes prepared a report which scrutinized IHSS. The focus of the report was 2004 legislation, part of SB 1104, which aimed to ensure program integrity and measure the delivery of services.

Although the report did not specifically identify fraud, waste or abuse in the IHSS program, it did identify administrative shortcomings that could lead to significant overpayments by the state.

Committee vice-chairman Sen. Abel Maldonado requested follow-up information focusing on IHSS fraud. Specifically, the senator asked:

- 1) What deficiencies in state law or IHSS policy promote fraud and/or hinder aggressive prosecution?
- 2) How is fraudulent activity monitored and measured in IHSS? How many providers and recipients are convicted of defrauding the system each year?
- 3) What methods of investigation have proven successful and how can they be implemented in other areas?
- 4) Can the state facilitate in the establishment of "best practices" to be made available to all county fraud investigators?

This report attempts to answer the Senator's questions, although statewide data on the extent of fraud in the IHSS program has not been released. We include observations and recommendations from state and local fraud investigators.

* * *

1) What deficiencies in state law or IHSS policy promote fraud and/or hinder aggressive prosecution?

The IHSS program works on an "honor" system. Key to the program is the concept that recipients are *employers*, with the latitude to hire, fire and direct workers. Advocates for people with disabilities hail such self-direction. Still, it leaves open the possibility that workers and recipients may conspire to exaggerate a recipient's needs in order to get more authorized hours. In nearly half of IHSS cases, close relatives are the paid caretakers.

The "recipient as employer" tenet of IHSS also may leave recipients afraid to challenge a neglectful or dishonest caretaker. One Sacramento County IHSS recipient told the Senate Office of Oversight and Outcomes: "I've been pressured to sign fraudulent timecards by people bigger and stronger than me. I am not empowered just because you call me the employer."

By law, social workers must visit IHSS recipients only once every 12 to 18 months. Unless a recipient complains, there is generally no external oversight of a caretaker's work between visits. The Department of Social Services officials who oversee the IHSS program say that by signing timesheets, recipients are essentially verifying that workers performed the authorized tasks and worked the claimed hours.

One major inconsistency in the IHSS program involves the tasks – such as laundry, shopping or bathroom assistance – that a social worker deems necessary to help a person stay safely at home. IHSS workers are paid by the state to perform the specific tasks assigned by the social worker. Numerous documents given to IHSS participants indicate that services should be limited to those detailed by a social worker. For example, the Department of Social Services suggests – but does not mandate – that an IHSS recipient and worker sign a "job agreement" and discuss authorized duties.

Yet there is no legal requirement that an IHSS worker know exactly what duties he or she is paid to perform. No law requires social workers or IHSS recipients to show workers the list of assigned tasks.

Advocates for people with disabilities say such information should be private so that IHSS recipients with more than one paid provider can decide how to divide tasks among workers. (A recipient may feel more comfortable having a certain worker help with bathing, for example.) Disability rights advocates also argue that there must be flexibility in caretaking and recipients know best what they need day to day.

Union officials who speak on behalf of IHSS workers, however, say that IHSS employees should at least have a legal right to know what tasks they are being paid to perform, lest they risk allegations of fraud or neglect.

Regardless, IHSS workers are not required to document what they do. The program's timesheets ask only for a total number of hours worked in a day. County fraud investigators say more detailed timesheets that show, at a minimum, the hours when a caretaker started and stopped working in a day would make it easier to prove fraud. Investigators with the state Department of Health Care Services say "time card deficiencies" hinder fraud prosecution.

This legislative session, Senator Maldonado introduced a pair of bills that address two of these issues. One, SB 142, would require that IHSS workers be provided with a list of the specific tasks a social worker has authorized. The other, SB 141, would require both the IHSS recipient and the worker to certify that a timesheet is true and correct -- and would levy civil penalties up to \$1,000 if it is not. Both bills have passed the Senate and are currently pending in the Assembly.

* * *

2) How is fraudulent activity monitored and measured in IHSS? How many providers and recipients are convicted of defrauding the system each year?

In response to this question, the answer from the Department of Health Care Services was blunt:

"Currently there is no accurate means of measuring or monitoring IHSS fraud within the state. This is because of the number of jurisdictions involved and the various data collection systems in place . . . Because there is no accurate means of measuring or monitoring IHSS fraud within the state, we do not know the exact number of providers or recipients committing fraud."

The department says it is testing a new data collection system that will help monitor IHSS fraud.

State law defines fraud in the IHSS program as "the intentional deception or misrepresentation made by a person with the knowledge that the deception could result in some unauthorized benefit to himself or herself or some other person." In practice, it can range from simple padding of a timesheet to a recent case in Los Angeles in which two suspects allegedly used multiple names and Social Security numbers to defraud IHSS of \$390,000.

Related to a discussion of fraud in the IHSS program is the concept of overpayments. State law defines "overpayment" as the amount paid by the state to an IHSS worker "which is in excess of the amount for services authorized or furnished." Overpayments may be accidental or fraudulent.

The Welfare and Institutions Code directs counties and the state to work together to minimize the potential for fraud and maximize collection of overpayments. Counties have written their own fraud prevention and detection policies and by law must refer all cases of suspected fraud to the Department of Health Care Services for investigation. Not all counties observe this rule, however; some handle their own IHSS investigations and prosecutions.

Until early this year, the DHCS had only two investigators assigned to IHSS fraud cases, with a backlog of roughly 1,000 cases. In February, the Legislature and governor agreed to pay for five more IHSS investigators and an analyst. In May, the administration sought an additional \$1.7 million to hire 30 more staff dedicated to IHSS fraud detection and prevention. That proposal is still pending in the Legislature.

Statewide data about the extent of fraud in the IHSS program is difficult to find. In March, DHCS surveyed all counties about their fraud referral practices, average number of IHSS fraud referrals, average amount of overpayment collected, etc. DHCS officials say many counties have yet to return the surveys, and no comprehensive results have been released.

A pending bill by Assemblywoman Bonnie Lowenthal (D-Long Beach) would require the Department of Social Services to "determine the extent and type of fraud that may occur within the IHSS program," including the amount of money involved and number of people harmed or placed at risk as a result of fraud between 2005 and 2010. The bill, AB 682, has passed the Assembly and is pending in the Senate.

To get a sense of the extent of fraud in Los Angeles County, home to 40% of the IHSS caseload, the Department of Health Care Services reassigned 22 investigators from other social service programs to IHSS in February. The department has yet to release the results of that focused effort, but in June, a dozen Los Angeles residents were arrested for allegedly defrauding IHSS and other public assistance programs of nearly \$1 million.

At the county level, the managers who operate the IHSS program call social workers their first line of defense against fraud and a primary source of tips about suspicious situations.

County officials say they also look for potential fraud by crosschecking payroll records against two reports issued periodically by the state Department of Social Services:

- The department sends counties the names of IHSS workers who purportedly worked in excess of 300 hours a month -- that's more than 10 hours a day, seven days a week. (One local fraud investigator recommends lowering this threshold to 200 hours a month.)
- Roughly once every three months, the state mails counties a list of IHSS recipients who have died. Counties must check whether workers continued submitting timesheets after the deaths of their employer. (Some critics say this three-month lag is too long -- six IHSS paychecks could be issued during that period.)

By law, the state must also check Medi-Cal payment records to find out when IHSS recipients are hospitalized. But only one such check has been performed since the requirement was imposed in 2004. Such checks should be automated under the new IHSS payroll system scheduled for launch statewide in 2011.

County officials say they depend upon another level of screening to flag fraud and overpayments. State law requires each county to dedicate at least one worker to the "quality assurance" task of sampling local IHSS cases and checking the accuracy and completeness of the paperwork. "Quality assurance" workers also visit the homes of some recipients to double-check the assessments performed by social workers. Occasionally, they discover fraud. In 2007, quality assurance staff visited 3,883 homes and reviewed the paperwork involved in 19,940 cases, according to the Department of Social Services. As a result, they referred 557 cases of alleged fraud to the Department of Health Care Services.

* * *

3) What methods of investigation have proven successful and how can they be implemented in other areas?

The Department of Health Care Services describes the most successful approach as a "full field investigation" that involves criminal history checks, data mining, interviews of neighbors and surveillance of the beneficiary/provider before contact is made with the subjects of the investigation. The department also touts a "multi-disciplinary" approach in which its investigators partner with county district attorneys, the state Department of Justice and any other pertinent agencies.

The oversight office also gathered tips and advice from IHSS fraud investigators in three California counties.

Fresno County's Rod Spaulding has given much thought to how the state can tighten up IHSS to deter and detect fraud. Spaulding, a senior district attorney investigator specializing in IHSS, makes these recommendations:

- Shift to using the more detailed SOC 310 form for new IHSS applicants and for their annual reassessment. (See Attachment A.) This state form is signed under penalty of perjury and collects important data about the applicant's living arrangement, housemates, financial situation, employment and earnings of parents if the recipient is a child under 18. To document changes in circumstances, Spaulding thinks that an SOC 310 should be used for each reassessment. Forcing social workers to ask more questions will yield more information about a recipient's abilities and resources, he said.
- In-home assessments should be unannounced as opposed to scheduled. Spaulding said surprise visits allow social workers to see clients in their ordinary circumstances without the opportunity to prepare or stage a condition.

(Department of Health Care Services officials agree; they cite "lack of random or targeted unannounced home visits" as a problem in the program.)

- In the state computer system, design a fraud page for both recipients and providers to document when a fraud referral has been made, a brief description of the allegation, disposition of the case and any exclusion from the program.
- While the IHSS program hinges on the assessment of a social worker, not a doctor, social workers occasionally seek a doctor's opinion to help guide their assessment of an applicant's abilities. Spaulding suggested that the state adopt a medical evaluation form like that created by Fresno County. (See Attachment B.) Fresno County's Medical Evaluation form requires a physician to sign under penalty of perjury and specifically asks the doctor if the patient would require out-of-home placement if not granted IHSS services. The Fresno medical evaluation form cites two Welfare and Institutions Code sections that state IHSS is for individuals "who cannot safely remain in their homes" unless these services are provided and who have "a chronic, disabling condition that causes functional impairment that is expected to last at least 12 consecutive months" or expected to result in death within a year.
- Likewise, said Spaulding, the state's medical evaluation form should require the doctor to specify a level of care needed, not just the diagnosis and prognosis. (See Attachment C.)
- Mandate training for all workers especially family members on fraud and how to fill out time cards.
- Get better training for the administrative law judges who handle the appeals of people dissatisfied with the hours of care they are authorized under IHSS. For example, Spaulding said, judges have failed to back county social workers who cut off services to people who refuse to provide medical information, even though IHSS rules allow termination for failure to cooperate.

The **Los Angeles County** Department of Public Social Services convened an IHSS Fraud Roundtable last year that identified two dozen "action items." *(See Attachment D.)* Among their recommendations:

- More fraud training for social workers.
- Require all providers to be seen by social workers. (There is no such requirement under current law; often a social worker may never see the person hired to care for a recipient.)
- Identify physicians involved in fraud cases.

- Develop a "high-risk" profile for potential fraud that keys on such factors as young age and disability, P.O. Box addresses, consumers/providers who do not respond to letters, consumers/providers who live at same address, frequent provider changes or providers caring for more than one consumer.
- Match nursing home data to county IHSS recipient rolls and notify counties when an IHSS recipient is admitted. (IHSS workers are not supposed to work or submit timesheets when their employer is in a hospital or nursing home.)
- Revise time sheets to show time when providers are performing services, not just total hours per day.
- Identify consumers who have numerous ailments but no doctors' visits.

Here are recommendations from **Sacramento County** fraud investigators:

- Before giving a recipient protective supervision (which entails the maximum number of authorized IHSS hours for mentally impaired people at risk of harming themselves), get the opinion of a panel of medical examiners instead of one doctor. By definition, a bed-bound client shouldn't be eligible for protective supervision. (See Attachment E.)
- Educate doctors about the purpose of the IHSS program, which is to keep people safely in their homes who would otherwise be placed in a nursing home.
- Require photo identification and social security number for providers. Then investigators can check welfare and Employment Development Department databases to flag providers who are getting aid, unemployment benefits, working another job, in jail, etc.
- Have a regulation that prohibits paying a provider for more than 12 hours a day.

* * *

4) Can the state facilitate in the establishment of "best practices" to be made available to all county fraud investigators?

The Department of Health Care Services had this response:

- The DHCS investigations bureau has developed a power point presentation and accumulated various IHSS-related materials that we use to train our new investigators. A variation of this presentation has been disseminated to county employees and will be the basis for the training program to be presented to county social workers.
- All investigators who are California peace officers receive standardized academy training and similar investigations-specific training. Each investigator, regardless of employer, utilizes those techniques that work best for them and that are authorized by their specific employer and jurisdiction.

Finally, here are some additional thoughts from people on the front lines of IHSS fraud detection:

Deterrence

"You need to cut fraud off before the money goes out the door. Then, instead of the cost of prosecution, you can cut them off before they get a dollar. If people know there is fraud detection going on, that will be a powerful deterrent....We believe there is systemic fraud. They figure out that no one is really checking." Cynthia Besemer, chief deputy district attorney, Sacramento County

In-person meetings between caseworker and care providers

Philip Browning, director of the Department of Public Social Services for Los Angeles County, contends that one way to improve program integrity is to require providers to meet social workers in person. He said such meetings would allow social workers to ascertain that providers in fact exist. As incentive for these meetings, Browning suggested the Legislature give counties the latitude to deny IHSS aid to recipients whose providers fail to meet the social workers.

Enlist social workers

Several fraud investigators spoke of the importance of enlisting social workers as the front line in finding abuse. Social workers are more than just extra eyes and ears – they have a different approach than law enforcement that can prove effective. Sacramento County went one step further, assigning two social workers full-time to the fraud detail.

A Sacramento County investigator says it paid off: "Fraud social workers get statements and admissions we investigators couldn't get in a million years."

Use other government databanks to pinpoint fraud

"We've had situations where the provider or the recipient were in jail and still time cards are turned in," a Sacramento investigator said.

Use county timesheet clerks to flag problems

The people who enter data from timesheets can notice problems and patterns that might indicate fraud. They should be encouraged to flag timesheets for social workers or fraud investigators. (IHSS workers submit two time sheets a month, and investigators say many workers fill out their first timesheet of the month as if they had worked the total monthly allotted hours in just two weeks. County workers often correct the timesheets rather than question whether the timesheet is false.)

###

Senate Office of Oversight and Outcomes 1020 N Street, Suite 560 Sacramento, CA 95814 (916) 651-1518

STATEMENT OF FACTS FOR IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

lote: Your eligibility for In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS), under Welfare and Institutions Code Section 12300, will be determined by the information you provide on this form.

1.) APPLICANT INFORMATION			FOR COUNTY USE O	NLY
NAME (FIRST, MIDDLE, LAST)		BIRTHDATE	The state of the s	
HOME ADDRESS	CITY	ZIP CODE		
MAILING ADDRESS (IF DIFFERENT)	HOME PHONE	MESSAGE PHONE		
PLACE OF BIRTH SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER	() MEDI-CAL CARD NUM	BER	Proposition and the second sec	
ARE YOU:				
MARITAL STATUS: 67.3	BLED?	BLIND?		
MARRIED SEPARATED SINGLE (Date / /) (Date / /)	WIDOWED (Date//	DIVORCED (Date /	/)	
COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:				
NAME OF SPOUSE OR PARENT(S) (IF YOU ARE UNDER 18 YEARS OF	AGE)			
IS SPOUSE/PARENT(S):				
☐ AGE 65 OR OVER? ☐ DISABLED		BLIND?	or garage	
SPOUSE/PARENT(S) SOC. SEC. NO. SPOUSE/PARENT(S) ADDRES	S (IF DIFFERENT THAN	APPLICANT'S)		
DO YOU DECIDE IN CALLED DAYA WITH THE				
2. DO YOU RESIDE IN CALIFORNIA WITH THE INTENTION TO CONTINUE RESIDING HERE?		YES NO		
(IF "YES", GO TO "ITEM 4")		YES NO		
(A.) IF YOU ARE NOT A UNITED STATES CITIZEN, ARE YOU LAWFULLY ADMITTED TO PERMANENT RESIDENCE OR LEGALLY PERMITTED TO REMAIN IN THE U S.?		YES NO		
(B.) WHAT IS YOUR ALIEN REGISTRATION NUMBER?				
(C.) WHAT IS NAME OF SPONSOR?				
(D.) WHAT IS SPONSOR'S ADDRESS?				
(4.) WHAT IS YOUR LIVING ARRANGEMENT?				
MY HOME IS A: HOUSE APARTMENT RO	OM ROOM & BOARD	TRAILER/ MOTOR HOME	OTHER	
IN WHICH I: OWN/ AM BUYING RENT		RECEIVE BOARD AND CARE		
LANDLORD'S NAME		BOARD AND/OR MORTGAGE	PAID	
ADDRESS		MONTH ZIP CODE		
ADDRESS	CITY	ZIF CODE		
(5.) ARE THERE OTHERS LIVING IN THE HOUSEHOLD?				
(IF "YES", GIVE THE INFORMATION BELOW:)	L	YES NO	1000	
NAME	REI	ATIONSHIP	AGE	
))			

6. DO YOU, YOUR SPOUS (If "YES", GIVE THE INF					SRAPH 21.)			YI	ES (NO	FOR COUNTY USE ONL
ADDRESS	PRESS			CITY		(YTNUO				
JTATE		ZIP CO	ODE		PARCEL NUM	иBER	***************************************				
ASSESSED VALUE \$	10 112 11110 0111 01120 0			NT OWED ON	MORTGAGE(MONTHL \$	Y PAYMEN	ıŢ		
ANNUAL TAXES ANN \$	UAL INSURANCE			ANNUAL	AL ASSESSMENTS						
HOW IS PROPERTY UTILIZED?		AS RENTA OF RENT.		ATE	ARE TAXES INCLUDED IN THE MONTHLY PAYMENT? YES NO						
OTHER PROPERTY EXPENSES					RANCE INCLU		YE	ES [NO		
7. DO YOU, YOUR SPOUS MOTORCYCLES, BOATS (IF "YES", GIVE THE INF	S, MOTORHOMES	()?	VN MO	TOR VEHIC	LES (CARS,	TRUCKS,	MAANA NAAMAMATAN TA'AA	☐ YE	s [] NO	==
MAKE AN MODE!			YEAI		STIMATED VALUE	CHECK! WORK	F USED F MEDI TRAI	CAL FO	MODII R DIS PERS	IED ABLED ON?	,
					to a supplier of the supplier						
THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF					http://doi.org/10.00000000000000000000000000000000000					en i cue anares o i	
	Colon all Add Connection on the Connection of th									THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TW	
8. WHAT IS THE VALUE OF (IF APPLICANT IS A BLIN CHILD INDICATE IF ANY	D OR DISABLED (CHILD UNI	DER AG	SE 18, INCLU	JDE RESOUR	RCES OF PA	RENT(S) RESPOI	NSIBL = FAM	E FOR	
LIQUID RESOURCES (/) IF NONE			ER VALUE		R OWNER (/) FOR		/) FOR	- !			
CASH ON HAND AND/OR MONEY KEPT IN THE HOME			\$		\$	9	3				
CHECKING ACCOUNT			\$		\$		\$				
SAVINGS ACCOUNT, CREDI TRUST FUNDS	TUNION		\$		\$	9	`` 			warmer and Secure to	
CHECKS OR CASH IN SAFET BOX			\$		\$	\$) 				
STOCKS, BONDS, OR MUTU. NOTES, MORTGAGES, DEED IRA, CERTIFICATES OF DEF MARKET)S		\$ \$		\$		\$				
OTHER (SPECIFY):		NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	\$	Principal Control Cont	\$		\$				
9. DO YOU, YOUR SPOUSE C OR HOUSEHOLD EFFECTS (E. G., HOUSEHOLD FURN SPECIFY IN ITEM 21) (IF 'YES'', GIVE INFORMA'	DR PARENT(S) (IF AS WITH A COMBINE ISHINGS, CLOTHIN	APPLICAN ED EQUITY IG, AND JE KCLUDE R	T IS UNI V VALUE EWELRY	DER 18) HAV E OF MORE 1 (.) (IF ADDI	/E ANY PERS THAN \$2,000? TIONAL SPAC VICES AND E	ONAL GOOL CE IS NEEDE QUIPMENT.)	os ED,	☐ YE	s] NO	41
			CURREN	ENT MARKET VALUE AMOUNT OWED			A				
Α.	\$			\$		\$	***************************************				
В. \$			\$	an managan malayan a sanakan malayan kanakan a	\$						
C. \$			\$	\$: : : -i				
DO YOU, YOUR SPOUSE (IF "YES", GIVE THE INFO NAME OF OWNER		N:)		process a special control of	RANCE? ME AND ADD	ADECC OF I	JOHOAN] NO	
TO OTHER	HANC OF			14711	COTO AUD		,JUNA	,5L 00M	7384		
POLICY NUMBER	TOTAL FAC			SURRENDE VALUE	1	IEN WAS TI		IF THERI AGAINST WHAT IS 1	THE P	OLICY	To the same sequences of the same sequences
						area para security and a security an				The same of the same of the same of	

OW	VNER OF	NAME OF	TOTAL	PURCHASE	HOW MUCH	IS OWED	NAME AN	ND ADDRESS OF
	CHITEM	EACH ITEM		F EACH ITE				ANY/SOURCE
			-		\$			
					\$		AND AND THE THE TRANSPORTED THE	
	HAVE YOU, YOUF OR GIVEN AWAY IF "YES", GIVE TI	ANY PROPERT	ry, INCLÚE	ING MON				☐ YES ☐ NO
DESCRIPTION					DATE TRANS		ESTIMATED VALUE	AMOUNT RECEIVED
							\$	\$
					Rational Control of the Control of t	.,,	\$	\$
10) //	RE YOU OR YOU NFORMATION BE MPLOYMENT OF	ELOW:) (IF APP						YES NO
	F EMPLOYER	110.0001(9)./			ADDRESS OF	EMPLOYER	The state of the s	MANAGEMENT POPULATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P
OCCUPATION					GROSS SALA	RY PER PA	Y PERIOD HOW	V OFTEN PAID?
	-EMPLOYED, AT NTS OR ENCUME					ESSARY BI	USINESS EXPENSE	S, PRINCIPAL
(1d) D	OO YOU, YOUR S	POUSE OR YO				S EQUIPM	ENT	
	IF "YES", GIVE TI		ON BELOW	V:)				YES NO
	DESC	CRIPTION			PURPOSE	ESTIMATED VALUE	AMOUNT OWED	
							\$	\$
							\$	\$
(13) E	YOU ARE BLINE XPENSES DUE T IF "YES", GIVE TH	TO BLINDNESS	OR DISAB	ILITY?	YOU HAVE AN	Y WORK-	-RELATED	T YES T NO
	TRANSPORTATION			OF ITEMS C	R SERVICES TO F	PREPARE	COST OF ITEMS OR : NEEDED FOR JOB PE \$	
							YMENT. IF APPLIC	ANT IS A BLIND OR
	TYPE OF	INCOME		(√) NONE	ENTER MONTH		T RECEIVED BY: OUSE/PARENT(S)	CLAIM NUMBER
A. SC	OCIAL SECURITY	(RETIREMENT. DISABILITY INS			\$	\$		
B. CA	ASH CONTRIBUT	TIONS			\$	\$		
	TATE DISABILITY NEMPLOYMENT				\$	\$		
D. VE	ETERAN'S PENS	ION/COMPENS	ATION		\$	\$:	
	A. AID AND ATTE ARE/ HOUSEBOU		CE		\$	\$	4	
F. G	OVERNMENT PE	NSION			\$	\$		
	RIVATE AND/OR ETIREMENT PEN				\$	\$	AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	
H. AL	LIMONY, CHILD S	SUPPORT			\$	\$		
I. RE	ENTAL INCOME	Andrew Control of the			\$	\$		
J. IN	ITEREST, DIVIDE	NDS, ROYALTI	ES		\$	\$		
K. RA	AILROAD RETIRE	EMENT PENSIC	N		\$	\$		
'. W	ORKER'S COMP	ENSATION			\$	\$		

N. OTHER: (SPECIFY)

	OU, YOUR SPOUSE OR YOUF RECEIVING INCOME FROM AT				☐ YES ☐ NO	FOR COUNT	Y USE ONLY
(IF "YES	S", GIVE THE INFORMATION B	ELOW:)			ED YES ED NO	EXPECTED INCO	ME
	TYPE OF INCOME	PLACE APP	LIED [DATE APPLIED	DATE EXPECTED	How Verified:	
4-10-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-11-							
						a	
						D	
					1	C	The state of the s
	OU, YOUR SPOUSE OR YOUF 'HS AND WANT MEDI-CAL FOR			WITHIN THE LAST	YES NO		
				A STATE OF THE STA	The state of the s	IN-KIND INCOME	
	YOU, YOUR SPOUSE OR YOU NTRIBUTIONS OF RENT, FOOL				YES NO	30-775.11	
	YOU. YOUR SPOUSE OR YOU				LI YES LI NO	How Verified:	
RET	URN FOR WORK?	(.,		OWN ENGATION IN	YES NO		
(IF "	YES" TO "(A)" OR "(B)", GIVE T	HE INFORMATION BE			The desirable of the St. A. The Control of the Cont		
	ITEM CONTRIBUT	TED	F	REQUENCY OF RECEIPT	CASH EQUIVALENT		
					\$		
	. VOUS 050/05 05 VOUS 5	ADENT(O) HAVE UEA	LTILODUIGORITA	V (ZATION)	\$	PREMIUM PAYMI	ENTS
	I, YOUR SPOUSE OR YOUR P. NCE (INCLUDING PAID BY AN		LIH OR HOSPIIA	ALIZATION	☐ YES ☐ NO	Amount Paid: \$	
(IF "YES	", GIVE THE INFORMATION B	ELOW:)			LD 120 LD 110	How often:	
IN	SURANCE CARRIER (CHECK	APPLICABLE(\$))		PERSON(S	S) INSURED	How Verified:	
ii MEDICA	DE (CLAIMAIO		,	, , , 		-	
r		AV 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11					
CHAMP	N'S ADMINISTRATION COVER						
VETERA	A STATE OF THE STA				and the second s		
ROSS-	1.000			A MARK MARK TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PERSON O			
BLUE SI						• L	
BLUE CI							
	D HEALTH PLAN						
	MAINTENANCE ORGANIZATI	ON (SPECIFY:)				
	CARRIER (SPECIFY:)				
(21) ITEM NUM	BER ADDITIO	NAL INFORMATION	(ATTACH ADDITI	ONAL SHEETS IF N	IECESSARY)	SOC 310 VI	ERIFICATION
						☐ ELIGIBLE	☐ INELIGIBLE
		The State of the S		And the second s		REASON (IF INELIC	SIBLE):
							granden and the second
				THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE	ENTERTON AND STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	SOCIAL SERVICE WOI	RKER:
:						DATE:	
		AND			THAT A LOCAL CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF THE		
			Committee of the Commit				
BE SURE YO	U HAVE READ EVERY ITEM AND	ANSWERED ALL THE	QUESTIONS THA	T APPLY TO YOU. R	READ THE FOLLOWING CARE	EFULLY BEFORE SIGNI	1G:
THEREBY ST.	ATE BY MY SIGNATURE THAT T	HE ANSWERS HAVE	GIVEN ARE CORR	ECT AND TRUE TO T	HE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDG	E.	
	TELL THE COUNTY DEPARTME PERSONS IN MY HOUSEHOL						
	LITIES CHECKLIST" I HAVE REC		or Abblicos	. THE THOREE TO	MEET ACC OTTEN NEOF OF	10/0/2//120 2/// 2////2/	
LUNDERSTA	ND THAT I MAY BE ASKED TO P	ROVE MY STATEMENT	S, BUT THAT THE	COUNTY IS REQUIRE	ED BY LAW TO KEEP THEM C	CONFIDENTIAL.	
IUNDERSTA	ND THAT IF I AM DISSATISFIED	WITH ANY ACTIONS TA	KEN BY THE COU	INTY DEPARTMENT C	OF SOCIAL SERVICES, I HAVE	E THE RIGHT TO A STAT	E HEARING.
	ND THAT I MUST DISPOSE OF					PERTY AND WITHIN TH	REE MONTHS IN THE
	RSONAL PROPERTY AND REPA' ND THAT IF I AM ELIGIBLE FOR					ME IF I PAY THE IHSS	SHARE OF COST LAN
OBLIGATED 1		MIGG GERVICES, I WIL	TO BE I-KOVIDED I	A MEDITORE CARD A	11 140 GEIMINE-OF-0031 10	ME II FEAT HIE INSS	5 OF COOT AN
	ND THAT FEDERAL AND STAT / IF THERE IS NO SURVIVING SE					GE 55 FROM THE EST	ATE OF A MEDI-CAI
	I, THE UNDERSIGNED. DE	CLARE UNDER PENA	ALTY OF PERJUR	RY THAT THE FORE	GOING STATEMENTS ARE	TRUE AND CORRECT	Γ.
SIGNATURE OF A			DATE	SIGNATURE OF V	WITNESS (REQUIRED IF APPLI		DATE
				SIGNED BY MAR	PK)		
WOMATHOE OF T	COON ACTING FOR ARRIVES			SIGNATURE OF	DEBOON HELDING ADDITIONAL		
	ERSON ACTING FOR APPLICANT PARENT, GUARDIAN, CONSERVA		DATE	COMPLETE FOR	PERSON HELPING APPLICANT M		DATE
		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN					!



Medical Evaluation for In-Home Supportive Services Recipient

Patient Name:		Case No.:	Date:
Address:	OM/ DI AI	: SW I	DOB:
SW Name:	SW Phone No.	: SW 1	-ax No.:
I authorize the mutual releas abuse, mental illness or HIV infectio to In-Home Supportive Services of F	n as it pertains to my medic	al need for domestic/ re	
Recipient Signature:			Date:
Authorized Representative/Witness:			Date:
This release of information expires 12 month	ns from the date above and may be	e revoked in writing or in person	on before that date.
The above patient has applied for In-Homresulting from their medical condition. IH Welfare and Institutions Code 12300, "' homes or abode of their own choosing ur services are provided to a beneficiary who last at least twelve consecutive more Fresno County IHSS is requesting the tre	SS provides help to those eligi who are unable to perform the aless these services are provide to has a chronic, disabling conths or that is expected to re-	ble aged, blind or disabled services themselves and ved." Section 14132.95 a (indition that causes fund sult in death within twelve	d individuals who, according to who cannot safely remain in their 4) of this code states "these stional impairment that is expected to months"
Please complete and return this	s document so we may	provide or continue	services.
In your opinion, will this individual require	out of home placement if they	do not receive assistance	in their home?
If you answered No , please complete the	signature box on the back of t	his form and return it.	
if you answered Yes, please complete th	e remainder of the form in full a	and complete the signature	e box on the back of the form.
What level of assistance or care is neo	cessary? None Skille	d Nursing	ng Board and Care
Date patient last seen:	How often is patie	ent seen?	
Prognosis:		_ Estimated Length of	Disability:
Diagnosis			
Medical:			
			ART To a second
Psychiatric:			

+

Impairments

Auditory	,	Visual		Speech
☐ No Impairment		□ No Impairment		☐ No Impairment
impairment:	•	mpairment:		Impairment:
Mental Status			Substance Abus	se
☐ Oriented X:	-		Туре:	
Confused: 🗀 Mild	☐ Moderate	☐ Severe	Treatment/Services	s:
Mobility			Transfer Activity	
☐ Ambulates Unassist	ed		☐ Unassisted	
☐ Ambulates with help)		☐ With help	
☐ Uses assistive device	e		☐ Unable to Tran	nsfer
☐ Wheelchair depende	ent			
☐ Bed Bound				
		Fund	ctional Ability:	
Task Ir	ndependent		hecked, must explain	
Medication			•	
Hand Fed				
Bathing				
Dressing				
Sit				
Stand				
Walk				
Push				
Pull				
Bend				
Reach		<u> </u>		
Grab/Grasp				
Drive				
Fresno County IHSS evaluation form in the In-Home Supportive S	self addresse	d stamped envelope er	nclosed to:	n to (559) 453-8636
Physician Signature:				Date:
Print Physician Name):			Provider No.:
Address, City, Zip:			Phon	e: ⁻ ax:

HSS 0100 3-15-07

PHYSICIAN'S CERTIFICATION OF MEDICAL NECESSITY

DATE:

		nust be completed to determine Personal
	Care Se	rvices Program eligibility and annually for recertification.
	After con	npletion, return this form to the agency
	i 	address indicated below.
PATIENT'S NAME	DATE OF BIRTH	CASE NUMBER
Dear Doctor:		·
		Home Supportive Services, to those eligible would be unable to remain safely in their own
bathing; oral hygiene; grooming; d	Iressing; care and assistance with pro pe of motion exercises and transfers; for	nal care services: assistance with ambulation sthetic devices; bowel, bladder and menstrua eeding and assurance of adequate fluid intake
Your examination of this patient applicable Medi-Cal requirements	may be reimbursable through Medi-tare met, or through Medi-Care.	Cal as an office visit provided that all other
AGENCY	SERVICE WORKER	SERVICE WORKER NUMBER
AGENCY ADDRESS (Street, City, Zip)		PHONE
SERVICE WORKER'S SIGNATURE		() DATE
	PATIENT AUTHORIZATION	
		nformation regarding alcoholism, drug abuse, al care services to the above named agency.
PATIENT'S SIGNATURE (Or Authorized Representative)		DATE ·
	FOR PHYSICIAN'S USE ONL	Y
PHYSICIAN'S NAME		PHONE ()
OFFICE ADDRESS (Street. City, Zip)		, ,
DIAGNOSIS		DATE LAST SEEN BY PHYSICIAN
PROGNOSIS (If Known)		<u> </u>
recommend one or more of the above light and the arrival to the above light and the arrival to the above light and the arrival to the arrival	•	Yes No
patient in order to prevent out-of-home plends signature	PROVIDER NUMBER	DATE
SOC 425 (7/03)		nda en

13	12	<u> </u>	10	(٥	8	7	6	5	4	ယ	2			Ä
Generate "prior conviction" Provider match	Revise timesheets to show time when Providers are performing services, not just the total hours per day.	Generate reports matching data from SNF, ICF, and other nursing home care to DPSS.	not respond to letters, Consumers/Providers live at the same address, frequent Provider changes, Providers caring for more than one Consumer.	Develop a "high risk" profile for potential fraud, e.g., young age and disability, PO Box addresses, Consumers/Providers do	Providers to sign relevant forms under penalty of periury.	Identify physicians on Consumer fraud cases.	Require all Providers to be seen by DPSS social workers.	Require all Providers to go through PASC.	Provide more training to DPSS staff on potential for fraud.	Improve Death match - get it quicker/ electronically.	CDHCS to communicate directly to CDSS regarding IHSS policy questions.	Generate report matching data from Housing Authority to IHSS data.	Conduct periodic meetings with local, State and County departments that interact with IHSS.	ACTION ITEM	
														PRO/CON	- 800次(827-850 BnV) - 171(元) 171(元) 171-172章
														NEXT STEPS	26, 2008 - 1985 - 26, 2008 - 1985
CDHCS/CDSS	CDSS/COUNTY	CDHCS/CDSS		CDHCS/CDSS/COUNTY	CDHCS/CDSS/COUNTY	CDHCS	CDSS/CDHCS	CDSS/CDHCS	CDHCS/DOJ/COUNTY	SCO, CDSS, SSA	CDHCS/CDSS	CDHCS/CDSS	CDHCS/CDSS/DOJ/SSA/DA/C OUNTY	SUGGESTED LEAD AGENCIES	
														STATUTORY, REGULATORY OR POLICY	

	COUNTY (DPSS)			24 Reinforce existing policy on follow-up action when Providers/Consumers do not respond to letters.	24
	COUNTY (DPSS)			23 Centralize Employee Provider cases.	23
	COUNTY (DPSS)			22 Review Advance Pay cases more closely.	22
	COUNTY (DPSS)			21 Review all "case status updates" from CDHCS investigators	21
	COUNTY (DPSS)			20 Verify doctors' statements.	20
	COUNTY (DPSS)			Identify Consumers who have numerous "ailments" but no doctors' visits.	19
	CDSS/COUNTY			18 Review, update, and reinforce Provider instuctions (e.g., not bill when Consumer in hospital or nursing home).	18
	STATE/COUNTY			17 Revew/revise existing policy to clarify which SSI cases can be referred to SSI Fraud investigators if SW has concerns.	17
	CDSS/SSA/COUNTY			16 Generate a report matching Child Care Providers to IHSS Providers	16
	CDSS/COUNTY			15 Make unannounced visits to Consumers	15
	CDSS			14 Clarify legal residence policy	14
REGULATORY OR POLICY	SUGGESTED LEAD AGENCIES	NEXT STEPS	PRO/CON	ACTION ITEM	
		์ปุ๋ย) ส.ย(XI¤) (XB)แล Mส= (IXIc) August 25, 2008	្តិ (៖(ទៀន ដូសូស្សា) សូស សម្រេច		

	SSESSMENT OF NEED FOR PF OR IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SEF		Release of Information Attached
		PATIENT'S NAME:	PATIENT'S DOB:
		MEDICAL ID#: (IF AVAILABLE)	COUNTY ID#:
		IHSS SOCIAL WORKER'S NAME:	
	The second secon	COUNTY CONTACT TELEPHONE	#: COUNTY FAX #:
Su	pervision. Protective Supervision is availabl n self-directing, confused, mentally impaired (1) When the need for protective supe (2) For friendly visitation or other social	e to safeguard against accident or hazard by or mentally ill persons. This service is not rvision is caused by a physical condition ratal activities; caused by a medical condition and the formency (such as seizures, etc.); aggressive recipient behavior. Iy. Thank you for your assisting us in determence to the same and the formency (such as seizures, etc.);	her than a mental impairment; of supervision required is medical;
DAT	E PATIENT LAST SEEN BY YOU:	LENGTH OF TIME YOU HAVE TRE	
DIA	GNOSIS/MENTAL CONDITION:	PROGNOSIS:	nt
	PL	EASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOXE	
	EMORY No deficit problem Moder planation:	ate or intermittent deficit (explain below)	Severe memory deficit (explain below)
	No disorientation Moder	ate disorientation/confusion (explain below)	Severe disorientation (explain below)
Ex	Unimpaired Mildly	Impaired (explain below)	Severely Impaired (explain below)
1.	Are you aware of any injury or accident the orientation or judgment? If Yes, please specify:	at the patient has suffered due to deficits in	memory, Yes No
2.	Does this patient retain the mobility or phy would result in injury, hazard or accident?	sical capacity to place him/herself in a situa	tion which Yes No
3.	Do you have any additional information or	comments?	
l ce	ertify that I am licensed to practice in the Sta	CERTIFICATION ate of California and that the information pro	vided above is correct.
SIGN	NATURE OF PHYSICIAN OR MEDICAL PROFESSIONAL:	MEDICAL SPECIALTY:	DATE:
ADD	DRESS:	LICENSE NO.:	TELEPHONE:
		ADDRESS, CITY, CA.: ATTN. SW-NAME	()